1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C22-0265JLR MICHELLE LYNN BURNELL, 10 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 11 v. 12 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & 13 SMITH LLP, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 The court is in receipt of Defendants Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, Anne 16 Marie Hoovler, and Heather M. Jensen's (collectively, "Defendants") response to 17 Plaintiff Michelle Lynn Burnell's motion for an extension of time regarding the dates for 18 initial disclosures and joint status report set forth in the court's June 1, 2022 order. 19 (Resp. (Dkt. # 27); Mot. (Dkt. # 22); 6/1/22 Order (Dkt. # 17).) In their response, 20 Defendants ask the court to strike Ms. Burnell's motion because it was improperly noted 21 under the court's Local Rules. (See Resp. at 1.) Although Defendants are correct that 22

1	Ms. Burnell's motion was improperly noted under Local Rule 7(d), see Local Rules W.D.
2	Wash. LCR 7(d)(2), the court granted the motion based on its review of the motion, the
3	record, and the upcoming deadlines (see, e.g., 6/1/22 Order at 1 (listing the deadline for
4	the FRCP 26(f) Conference as 6/15/22)). (See generally 6/16/22 Order (Dkt. # 24).)
5	Nothing in Defendants' response would alter that result. Accordingly, the court declines
6	to strike either Ms. Burnell's motion (Dkt. # 22) or its order granting Ms. Burnell's
7	motion (Dkt. # 24).
8	Dated this 16th day of June, 2022.
9	
10	(Jun R. Plut
11	JAMÉS L. ROBART United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	